PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

10/563921

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL030823WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/IB2004/051135	International filing date (day/month/year) 06 July 2004 (06.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 July 2003 (10.07.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I Basis of the report				
	Box No. II Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of applicability	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inven	ation		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations of	on the international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 16 January 2006 (16.01.2006)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Idhir Britel		
Facsir	Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60				
Form I	PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)				

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom t NTER	NATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	RITY		
To:					PCT 0 6 JUL 2005
				WRITT	WIPO PCT
	see form P	CT/ISA/220			IAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				٧,	0111410 1001011)
				Date of mailing	
				(day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Appli	cant's or agent's file	reference		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION
see	form PCT/ISA/22	0		See paragraph 2 belo	w .
	national application N	lo.	International filing date (a	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT	PCT/IB2004/051135 06.07.2004				10.07.2003
		ification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	
G06	ST11/00 				
Appli	cant VINKLIJKE PHIL	IDQ EI ECTD(ONICS N V		
KUI	WINKLIJKE PHIL	IFO ELECTR	JINIOO IN. V.		
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati	ons relating to the follo	owing items:	
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	oinion		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of			
	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned sta	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	r.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial tement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	-	0	•
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	olication	
	🛛 Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internation	nai application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	written opinion of	of the Internation coses an Autho reau under Rule	nal Preliminary Examinin rity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA"). b be the IPEA and the	Il usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the atlonal Searching Authority
	submit to the IP	EA a written rep date of mailing	oly together, where appro	opriate, with amendm	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three n of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further optic	ns, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
	me and mailing addre	and of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	authal Philadela.

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Deltorn, J-M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3468



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051135

			·
	Вох	No.	I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the	reg langi	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following puage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	h reg essa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	ype o	of material:
	[□ ;	a sequence listing
	í	- 1	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. f	orma	at of material:
	1		in written format .
	1		in computer readable form
	c. t	ime (of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there's been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4	. Ad	ditio	nal comments:

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051135

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: BOONE J.M. ET AL.: "analysis and correction of imperfections in the image intensifier-TV-digitizer imaging chain" MEDICAL PHYSICS, vol. 18, no. 2, March 199, pages 236-242
 - D2: FAHRIG R ET AL: "Three-dimensional computed tomographic reconstruction using a C-arm mounted XRII: Correction of image intensifier distortion" MEDICAL PHYSICS, AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS. NEW YORK, US, vol. 24, no. 7, July 1997, pages 1097-1106
 - D3: WANG X ET AL: "ACCURATE AND EFFICIENT IMAGE INTENSIFIER DISTORTION CORRECTION ALGORITHM FOR VOLUME TOMOGRAPHIC ANGIOGRAPHY" OPTICAL ENGINEERING, SOC. OF PHOTO-OPTICAL INSTRUMENTATION ENGINEERS. BELLINGHAM, US, vol. 37, no. 3, 1 March 1998, pages 977-983

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An imaging system arranged to reduced an artifact in radiographic planar images comprising:

image artifact reduction means arranged to subsequently process said planar images with a first corrective image for eliminating a first source of structured noise in said images (section II, c.f. definition of " $I_1(x,y)$ ") and a second corrective image for

PCT/IB2004/051135

eliminating a second source of structured noise in said images (section II, c.f. definition of " $I_2(x,y)$ ").

The particular application of such a system to a three-dimensional reconstructed volume comprising a plurality of planar images is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem of correcting the distortions due to an image intensifier (c.f. also document D2, section II and document D3, section 2).

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 6, 7 AND 10

Independent claims 6,7 and 10 correspond in terms of apparatus, method and computer program to system claim 1 and are therefore also considered not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-5, 8, 9

Dependent claims 2-5, 8, 9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

4.1 Claim 2

The feature of claim 2 wherein the first and second images comprise a first and second gain correction data is also disclosed in document D1 (section II).

4.2 Claims 3, 8 and 9

The feature of claims 3, 8 and 9 consisting in averaging a plurality of raw images of a calibration scan constitutes one of several straightforward possibilities from which the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051135

skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to reduce the noise in the calibration data.

The additional features of claim 3, 8 and 9 are also disclosed in document D1 (section II).

4.3 Claim 4

The feature of claim 4 wherein the first and second sources of noise comprise a noise of an input and output screen of the image intensifier is also disclosed in document D1 (section II).

4.4 Claim 5

The feature of Claim 5 consisting in applying a drift correction constitutes one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to correct for a movement of a projection of the output screen during a rotational scan.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051135

Re Item VIII.

1. CLAIMS 1 AND 7

Claims 1 and 7 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.